14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 ROBERT BOOKER II, No. C 07-384-CW 9 Plaintiff, ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' 10 v. MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, HARLAN GRAFF, LUTHER 12 NOLAN, and TOM MILLER; 13 Defendants.

On March 13, 2008, Plaintiff Robert Booker filed an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Two declarations were filed in support of that motion, one by Plaintiff and one by Plaintiff's counsel. In their reply brief, Defendants have identified several problems with these declarations.

(1) Plaintiff's declaration is neither signed under penalty of perjury nor is there a statement that the contents of the declaration are true. Therefore, Plaintiff's current declaration shall be stricken from the record (Docket No. 41). Within one day of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall e-file a declaration that complies with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1746. If Plaintiff does not do so, the Court will not consider the evidence contained in the declaration or the supporting exhibit when

deciding Defendant's motion for summary judgment.

Plaintiff has not e-filed exhibits seventeen through twenty-one to the declaration of Waukeen O. McCoy. Defendants present evidence that they informed Plaintiff's counsel of the missing documents on March 14, 2008, but counsel has not remedied the deficiency. The Court notes that all of the exhibits missing from the declaration were documents in Defendants' control or transcripts of depositions, which Defendants presumably have. Therefore, Defendants have not been prejudiced by the omission. Nonetheless, it is Plaintiff's duty to ensure that the electronic record is complete. His failure to do so, in light of the fact that he has been notified of the deficiency, is troubling. Within one day of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall e-file exhibits seventeen through twenty-one to the declaration of Waukeen Q. McCoy. If Plaintiff does not do so, the Court will not consider any argument by Plaintiff based on the evidence contained in those exhibits in deciding Defendant's motion for summary judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19

20

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Dated: 3/28/08

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Claudialvillen

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge